IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYAN AT CHANDIGARH

R.S.A. No.3195 of 2004
Date of decision: January 23,2007

Naib Singh V. Ajaib Singh and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present: Shri R.K.Sharma,Advocate, for the appellant.
Shri Amandeep Agnihotri, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral)

The plaintiff having lost concurrently before the two courts below has approached this court through the present Regular Second.

The plaintiff filed a suit for declaration claiming that he was owner in possession of the suit land to the extent of 11/36 share. The aforesaid claim was made by him on the basis that his mother Bachni had married to Bachna and from the aforesaid wedlock plaintiff was born .

Both the courts below have held that the plaintiff has failed to establish the fact that he was son of Bachna. On that basis the claim of the plaintiff has been rejected by the trial court. His appeal has failed before the first appellate court.

Nothing has been shown that the findings recorded by the two Courts below suffer from any infirmity or are contrary to the record.

No question of law, much less any substantial question of law, arises in the present appeal.

Dismissed.

January 23,2007 (Viney Mittal)
sks Judge